CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector

Experiment 5: Geologic Attenuation of Cosmic Rays

A. Maximum to Minimum

B. Cosmic Ray Images

C. Entrance Cut Off

March 2005

Bob Coutts

A. Maximum to Minimum

The following data were taken to reveal the amount of attenuation of cosmic rays afforded by the geologic materials (rock and soil) above road tunnels, as well as the relationship between the thickness of the rock and soil and the amount of attenuation, which they provide. The count rate can drop to essentially zero once the measuring device has been taken deep enough into the tunnel and the thickness is great enough. If the overbearing structure is not thick enough, which was the case for the following experiments, the count rate reaches a very small minimum value, stays there a while and then, eventually increases again to the outside value.

The first table is blank. It is placed here to act as a print master, for further data collection.

| Location (GPS) | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | |
| Type of Rock/Soil | |
| | |

| Location | Г | Trial # | (Cou | ints/m | l) | Average | Error | Check |
|-------------|---|---------|------|--------|----|---------|-------|-------|
| Location | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| <u>10 m</u> | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | |

| Location (GPS) | Kanan Road Tunnel #1 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1680 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sandstone |

| Location | | Trial 3 | (Counts | Average | Error | Check | | |
|----------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-----|---------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | 191 | 209 | 166 | 192 | 195 | 191 | 6.2 | |
| 10 m | 64 | 61 | 70 | 73 | 62 | 66 | 3.6 | |
| 20 | 25 | 35 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 28 | 2.4 | |
| 30 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 2.0 | |
| 40 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 1.7 | |
| 50 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 1.4 | |
| 60 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8.4 | 1.3 | |
| 70 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 4.6 | 1.0 | Half- |
| | | | | | | | | way |
| | | | | | | | | through |
| | | | | | | | | tunnel |
| 5 m out | 228 | 217 | 210 | 220 | 236 | 222 | 6.7 | |
| 10 m out | 238 | 230 | 239 | 233 | 204 | 229 | 6.8 | |

This data shows a steady decrease in count rate, down to a near zero minimum, as the detector is taken deeper into the tunnel.

| Location (GPS) | Kanan Road - tunnel #1 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Length of Tunnel | About 100 m |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | At 10 m out 229 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1680 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sedimentary (sandstone) |

| Location | | Trial | Average Value | Err | Check | | | |
|----------|-----|-------|------------------|-----|-------|-------|-----|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | value | | |
| -10 m | 238 | 230 | 239 | 233 | 204 | 229 | 6.8 | |
| -5 m | 228 | 217 | 210 | 228 | 236 | 224 | 6.7 | |
| 0.0 | 191 | 209 | 166 | 192 | 195 | 191 | 6.2 | |
| 5.0 | 121 | 136 | 100 | 129 | 125 | 122 | 4.9 | |
| 10 | 64 | 61 | 70 | 73 | 62 | 66 | 3.6 | |
| 20 | 25 | 35 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 28 | 2.4 | |
| 30 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 1.9 | |
| 40 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 1.7 | |
| 50 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 1.4 | |
| 60 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 1.3 | |
| 70 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 1.0 | |

This table shows a steady decrease in the count rate, as the detector is taken deeper into the tunnel. The count rate deep in the tunnel was only a percent or two of the unattenuated value.

| Location (GPS) | Tunnel 2 |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1920 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sand stone |

| Location | | Trial | Average | Error | Check | | | |
|----------|-----|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | 196 | 206 | 193 | 219 | 221 | 207 | 6.4 | |
| 10 m | 71 | 65 | 62 | 61 | 71 | 66 | 3.6 | |
| 20 | 19 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 22 | 25 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | 13 | 9 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 1.7 | |
| 40 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 1.1 | |
| 50 | X | Х | Х | Х | Х | X | | |
| 60 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0.8 | |
| 70 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 10 m out | 229 | 219 | 215 | 231 | 233 | 225 | 6.7 | |

This data shows a steady decrease in count-rate as the detector is taken deeper into the tunnel. The final amount in the deepest part is only about one percent of the untenanted count rate.

| Location (GPS) 34 03.634N, 118 26.930W | UCLA Plasma Lab |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Length of Tunnel | XXXXXXXX |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 364 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 370 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Reinforced Cement (10 ft thick) |
| | |

| Location | Trial # | (Counts | s/min) | | | Average | Error | Check |
|-----------|---------|---------|--------|-----|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Courtyard | 372 | 307 | 379 | 368 | 393 | 364 | 8.5 | 100% |
| Plasma | 167 | 164 | 153 | 146 | 176 | 161 | 5.7 | Average |
| Lab A | | | | | | | | 181cpm |
| (Front) | | | | | | | | |
| Plasma | 170 | 196 | 202 | 180 | 217 | 193 | 6.2 | 50 % |
| Lab B | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| (Middle) | | | | | | | | |
| Plasma | 194 | 172 | 200 | 187 | 193 | 189 | 6.1 | Average |
| Lab C | | | | | | | | 181cpm |
| (Rear) | | | | | | | | |
| Front | 331 | 287 | 304 | 299 | 321 | 308 | 7.8 | 85 % |
| Desk | | | | | | | | |
| (Just | | | | | | | | |
| Roof) | | | | | | | | |
| LAPTAG | 275 | 333 | 369 | 273 | 297 | 309 | 7.9 | 85% |
| Lab | | | | | | | | |

This table shows single location count rates at a few locations at the LAPD plasma lab at UCLA. The first (364) is outside, in the courtyard. The next 3 are in three locations at the front, middle and back end of the lab itself, under the 10-foot thick, steel reinforced ceiling. The last is on the roof of the lab, which is the street level floor at the front desk. The only thing between the detector and the sky at the front desk was the metal roof of the building.

B. Cosmic Ray Images

The following data were taken to study the relationship between the thickness of the overbearing rock and soil and the count rate for the entire length of the tunnel. Once a value of zero is reached for the count rate, the device is continued to be moved, until non-zero values are found once again. In each of the following, zero was never reached, as the overbearing rock and soil never became thick enough.

There is an inverse relationship between thickness and count rate. Graphing the data, distance versus count rate, can provide profiles of the geology above the tunnels. Comparing the inverted graphs to photos of the geology or GPS elevation profiles reveals geological portraits. These data may be said to provide 'strip images' of the geology above the tunnels, a type of Cosmic Ray Image.

The next table is blank. It is placed here to act as a print master, for further data collection.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5B: Strip Image Data Table

| Location (GPS) | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | |
| Type of Rock/Soil | |
| | |

| Location | Tı | rial # | (Cour | nts/mi | n) | Average | Error | Check |
|----------|----|--------|-------|--------|----|---------|-------|-------|
| Location | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | | | | | | | | |
| 10 m | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | |

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5B: Strip Image Data Table

| 149 m |
|---------|
| 132 |
| 1563 ft |
| |
| |

| Location | | Trial # | f (Count | Average | Error | Check | | |
|----------|-----|---------|----------|---------|-------|-------|------|--------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | 120 | 137 | 139 | | | 132 | 6.6 | |
| 10 m | 31 | 35 | 39 | | | 35 | 3.4 | |
| 20 | 26 | 7 | 16 | | | 16 | 2.3 | |
| 30 | 11 | 10 | 10 | | | 10 | 1.8 | |
| 40 | 5 | 5 | 7 | | | 5.7 | 1.4 | |
| 50 | 5 | 4 | 5 | | | 4.7 | 1.2 | |
| 60 | 5 | 6 | 6 | | | 5.7 | 1.4 | |
| 70 | 6 | 4 | 4 | | | 4.6 | 1.2 | |
| 80 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | 1.7 | 0.75 | |
| 90 | 3 | 6 | 11 | | | 6.7 | 1.5 | |
| 100 | 4 | 8 | 11 | | | 6.7 | 1.5 | |
| 110 | 9 | 8 | 14 | | | 10. | 1.8 | |
| 120 | 14 | 13 | 16 | | | 14 | 2.2 | |
| 130 | 31 | 20 | 28 | | | 26 | 2.9 | |
| 140 | 85 | 80 | 66 | | | 77 | 5.1 | |
| 150 | 178 | 186 | 165 | | | 176 | 7.7 | End of |
| | | | | | | | | tunnel |
| 160 | 183 | 196 | 248 | 206 | | 208 | 8.3 | Out of |
| | | | | | | | | tunnel |

This data is continuous, from one end of the tunnel to the other. This is a Cosmic Ray Image of the overbearing rock above the tunnel. Notice the values decrease as they approach the maximum thickness, reach a minimum value, and then go back up to the outside value at the altitude of the other end of the tunnel.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5B: Strip Image Data Table

| Location (GPS) 34 06.321N, 118 48.665 W | Tunnel 2 |
|---|-----------|
| Length of Tunnel | 305 m |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 303 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1676 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sandstone |

| Location | | Trial # | (Count | Average | Error | Check | | |
|----------|-----|---------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-----|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | 272 | 312 | 324 | | | 303 | 10 | |
| 10 m | 129 | 124 | 123 | 110 | | 121 | 5.5 | |
| 20 | 71 | 74 | 76 | 61 | | 79 | 4.4 | |
| 30 | 48 | 47 | 42 | 45 | | 45 | 3.4 | |
| 40 | 23 | 42 | 36 | 33 | | 33 | 2.9 | |
| 50 | 33 | 32 | 27 | 41 | | 33 | 2.9 | |
| 60 | 40 | 26 | 22 | 24 | | 28 | 2.6 | |
| 70 | 24 | 21 | 27 | 26 | | 26 | 2.5 | |
| 80 | 35 | 21 | 33 | 28 | | 29 | 2.7 | |
| 90 | 32 | 19 | 24 | 26 | | 25 | 2.5 | |
| 100 | 19 | 20 | 13 | 15 | | 17 | 2.1 | |
| 110 | 20 | 28 | 18 | 27 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 120 | 18 | 28 | 21 | 26 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 130 | 22 | 32 | 25 | 28 | | 27 | 2.6 | |
| 140 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 16 | | 16 | 2.0 | |
| 150 | 21 | 26 | 25 | 20 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 160 | 15 | 26 | 26 | 25 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 170 | 28 | 19 | 22 | 23 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 180 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 31 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 190 | 20 | 27 | 28 | 16 | | 23 | 2.4 | |
| 200 | 19 | 30 | 35 | 34 | | 29 | 2.7 | |
| 210 | 29 | 26 | 25 | 24 | | 26 | 2.5 | |
| 220 | 30 | 35 | 24 | 33 | | 30 | 2.7 | |

| 230 | 44 | 51 | 36 | 28 | 40 | 3.2 | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 240 | 47 | 40 | 41 | 33 | 40 | 3.2 | |
| 250 | 42 | 45 | 36 | 50 | 43 | 3.3 | |
| 260 | 53 | 41 | 58 | 53 | 51 | 3.6 | |
| 270 | 72 | 57 | 70 | 56 | 64 | 4.0 | |
| 280 | 87 | 90 | 69 | 82 | 82 | 4.5 | |
| 290 | 168 | 169 | 153 | 159 | 162 | 6.4 | |
| 300 | 278 | 257 | 294 | 330 | 290 | 8.5 | Exit |

This data show a continuous collection from one end of the tunnel to the other. This is a Cosmic Ray Image of the overbearing rock above the tunnel. There is a long roof of fairly even thickness above this tunnel. The thickness is not enough for 100% attenuation. The ends of the tunnel are nearly vertical faces, accounting for the very sudden decrease in attenuation within 10 or 20 m of the entrance and exit.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5B: Strip Image Data Table (SL means shoe-length (1ft))

| Location (GPS) 34 06.554N, 118 48.275W | Tunnel 3 |
|--|-----------|
| Length of Tunnel | 120 m |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 323 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1440 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sandstone |

| Location | | Trial | # (Counts | /min) | | Average | Error | Check |
|----------|-----|-------|-----------|-------|---|---------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| Entrance | 302 | 327 | 339 | | | 323 | 10 | |
| 35 SL | 135 | 123 | 134 | | | 131 | 6.6 | |
| 70 | 75 | 85 | 77 | | | 79 | 5.1 | |
| 105 | 58 | 63 | 73 | | | 65 | 4.7 | |
| 140 | 65 | 61 | 52 | | | 59 | 4.4 | |
| 175 | 47 | 53 | 50 | | | 50 | 4.1 | |
| 210 | 45 | 38 | 46 | | | 43 | 3.8 | |
| 245 | 37 | 43 | 38 | | | 39 | 3.6 | |
| 280 | 44 | 40 | 42 | | | 42 | 3.0 | |
| 315 | 59 | 58 | 63 | | | 60 | 4.5 | |
| 350 | 75 | 77 | 73 | | | 75 | 5.0 | |
| 385 | 135 | 134 | 122 | | | 130 | 6.6 | |
| 405 | 323 | 305 | 333 | | | 320 | 10 | South |
| End of | | | | | | | | exit |
| tunnel | | | | | | | | |

This data is a continuous collection, from one end of the tunnel to the other. This is a Cosmic Ray Image of the overbearing rock above the tunnel. This data set shows a gradual increase in thickness with no constant thickness section, followed by a gradual increase back to zero rock thickness (maximum count rate). In other words, there was a round hill through which the tunnel was dug.

C. Vertical Cut Offs

The following data were taken to study the area at or near each tunnel entrance. These data show that not all cosmic rays are vertical. Graphing the count rate v the distance from the vertical tunnel face does not show a sudden drop (say 95% in 5 m) in count rate, it shows a more gradual change over 20 m (82% from 6 m out to 14 m in). This clearly indicates the fact that cosmic rays are not all perfectly vertical, some have somewhat non-vertical paths.

The next table is blank. It is placed here to act as a print master, for further data collection.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5C: Vertical Data Table

| Location (GPS) | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | |
| Type of Rock/Soil | |

| Location | | Trial # | (Counts/ | Average Value | Error | Check | | |
|----------|---|---------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| 10 m out | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 m in | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector

Experiment 5C: Vertical

Data Table

| Location (GPS) 34 04.356N, 118 48.754W | Tunnel 1 |
|--|----------|
| Length of Tunnel | |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 204 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1563 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil sandstone | |

| Location | Trial # | Trial # (Counts/min) | | | | Average | Error | Check |
|----------|---------|----------------------|-----|---|---|---------|-------|----------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| 10 m out | 186 | 208 | 217 | | | 204 | 8.2 | |
| 8 | 215 | 205 | 217 | | | 213 | 8.4 | |
| 6 | 218 | 209 | 204 | | | 210 | 8.4 | |
| 4 | 186 | 174 | 171 | | | 177 | 7.7 | |
| 2 | 183 | 183 | 180 | | | 182 | 7.8 | |
| 0 | 120 | 137 | 139 | | | 132 | 6.6 | ENTRANCE |
| 2 m in | 139 | 123 | 129 | | | 130 | 6.6 | |
| 4 | 100 | 114 | 96 | | | 103 | 5.9 | |
| 6 | 101 | 95 | 88 | | | 95 | 5.6 | |
| 8 | 83 | 86 | 61 | | | 77 | 5.0 | |
| 10 | 71 | 46 | 64 | | | 60 | 4.5 | |
| 12 | 61 | 54 | 48 | | | 54 | 4.2 | |
| 14 | 41 | 33 | 43 | | | 39 | 3.6 | |

This data show a relatively gradual cutoff at the entrance to this tunnel, down to about 20% of the outside count rate at 14 m into the tunnel. A photo will reveal the fact that the rock face into which the tunnel was cut, starts back about 10 m behind the beginning of the tunnel. The tunnel has a metal structure extending out of the rock face suggesting that the cut off is more abrupt than suggested by the data.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5C: Vertical Data Table

| Location (GPS) 34 06.322N, 118 48.665 W | Tunnel 2 |
|---|----------|
| Length of Tunnel | 305 m |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 400 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1676 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil sandstone | |

| Location | Trial # (Counts/min) | | | | Average | Error | Check | |
|----------|---|-----|-----|-----|---------|-------|-------|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| 10 m out | 418 | 425 | 423 | | | 422 | 12 | |
| 5 m | 385 | 399 | 396 | | | 393 | 11 | |
| 0 m | 272 | 312 | 324 | | | 303 | 10 | |
| 5 m in | 148 | 194 | 172 | | | 171 | 7.5 | |
| 10 m in | 129 | 124 | 110 | | | 121 | 6.4 | |
| Other | 1562 ft elevation 34 6.352 N 118 48.483 W | | | | | | | |
| end of | | | | | | | | |
| tunnel | | | | | | | | |
| 25 m in | 72 | 57 | 70 | 56 | | 64 | 4.0 | |
| 15 m in | 87 | 90 | 69 | 82 | | 82 | 4.5 | |
| 5 m in | 168 | 169 | 153 | 159 | | 162 | 6.4 | |
| 0 m | 278 | 257 | 294 | | | 276 | 9.6 | |
| 5 m out | 329 | 341 | 399 | | | 356 | 10.9 | |
| 10 m out | 360 | 344 | 362 | | | 355 | 10.9 | |

This cut off data is for both ends of tunnel 2. The bottom set suggests a steady value outside of the tunnel and an attenuation of 82% at 25 m into the tunnel. The top set suggests an attenuation of 72% at 10 m into the tunnel.

CHICOS Portable Cosmic Ray Detector Experiment 5C: Vertical Data Table

| Location (GPS) 34 06.479N 118 48 271 W | Tunnel 3 south end, south bound side |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Length of Tunnel | 400 ft |
| Initial Count Outside of Tunnel | 330 |
| Elevation of Tunnel Entrance | 1400 ft |
| Type of Rock/Soil | Sandstone |

| Location | Trial # (Counts/min) | | | | | Average | Error | Check |
|----------|----------------------|-----|-----|---|---|---------|-------|-------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value | | |
| 20 m in | 135 | 134 | 122 | | | 130 | 6.6 | |
| 10 m | 154 | 174 | 155 | | | 161 | 7.3 | |
| 0 m | 323 | 305 | 333 | | | 320 | 10.3 | |
| 10 m out | 316 | 334 | 325 | | | 325 | 10.4 | |
| 20 m out | 343 | 324 | 381 | | | 349 | 10.8 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

This data set suggests an attenuation of 63% at 20 m into the tunnel. Variations in sharpness in drop off of count rate are due to the shape of the rock face into which the tunnels are cut.